JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

DISTRICT OFFICE: Omaha District CENWO-OD-RMT (Billings) FILE NUMBER: 2005-90-540 PROJECT LOCATION INFORMATION: State: Montana County: Stillwater Center coordinates of site (latitude/longitude): Sec. 20, T5S, R15E Approximate size of area (parcel) reviewed, including uplands: 50 acres. Name of nearest waterway: Verdigirs Creek Name of watershed: HUC 10070005 JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION **Completed:** Desktop determination Date: 8/4/05 Site visit(s) Date(s): **Jurisdictional Determination (JD):** Preliminary JD - Based on available information, there appear to be (or) there appear to be no "waters of the United States" and/or "navigable waters of the United States" on the project site. A preliminary JD is not appealable (Reference 33 CFR part 331). Approved JD – An approved JD is an appealable action (Reference 33 CFR part 331). Check all that apply: There are "navigable waters of the United States" (as defined by 33 CFR part 329 and associated guidance) within the reviewed area. Approximate size of jurisdictional area: ☑ There are "waters of the United States" (as defined by 33 CFR part 328 and associated guidance) within the reviewed area. Approximate size of jurisdictional area: ☐ There are "isolated, non-navigable, intra-state waters or wetlands" within the reviewed area. Decision supported by SWANCC/Migratory Bird Rule Information Sheet for Determination of No Jurisdiction. BASIS OF JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION: A. Waters defined under 33 CFR part 329 as "navigable waters of the United States": The presence of waters that are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide and/or are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce. B. Waters defined under 33 CFR part 328.3(a) as "waters of the United States": (1) The presence of waters, which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible to use in interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide. (2) The presence of interstate waters including interstate wetlands¹. (3) The presence of other waters such as intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds, the use, degradation or destruction of which could affect interstate commerce including any such waters (check all that apply): (i) which are or could be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational or other purposes.

(ii) from which fish or shellfish are or could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce. (iii) which are or could be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce. (4) Impoundments of waters otherwise defined as waters of the US.

Rationale for the Basis of Jurisdictional Determination (applies to any boxes checked above). If the jurisdictional water or wetland is not itself a navigable water of the United States, describe connection(s) to the downstream navigable waters. If B(1) or B(3) is used as the Basis of Jurisdiction, document navigability and/or interstate commerce connection (i.e., discuss site conditions, including why the waterbody is navigable and/or how the destruction of the waterbody could affect interstate or foreign commerce). If B(2, 4, 5 or 6) is used as the Basis of Jurisdiction, document the rationale used to make the determination. If B(7) is used as the Basis of Jurisdiction, document the rationale used to make adjacency determination: Project consists of three sites: Sites 1 and 2 are located on Verdigris Creek which flows into the Stillwater River which flows into the Yellowstone River, an interstate and navigable water of the U.S. Site 3 is located in an isolated wetland that has no connection to Verdigris Creek.

(7) The presence of wetlands adjacent² to other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands.

(5) The presence of a tributary to a water identified in (1) - (4) above.

(6) The presence of territorial seas.

| | Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by: clear, natural line impressed on the bank miles of litter and debris miles of litter a |
|--------|--|
| | Mean High Water Mark indicated by: ☐ survey to available datum; ☐ physical markings; ☐ vegetation lines/changes in vegetation types. |
| | Wetland boundaries, as shown on the attached wetland delineation map and/or in a delineation report prepared by: |
| Bas | The reviewed area consists entirely of uplands. Unable to confirm the presence of waters in 33 CFR part 328(a)(1, 2, or 4-7). Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 33 CFR part 328.3(a)(3). The Corps has made a case-specific determination that the following waters present on the site are not Waters of the United States: Waste treatment systems, including treatment ponds or lagoons, pursuant to 33 CFR part 328.3. Artificially irrigated areas, which would revert to upland if the irrigation ceased. Artificial lakes and ponds created by excavating and/or diking dry land to collect and retain water and which are used exclusively for such purposes as stock watering, irrigation, settling basins, or rice growing. Artificial reflecting or swimming pools or other small ornamental bodies of water created by excavating and/or diking dry land to retain water for primarily aesthetic reasons. Water-filled depressions created in dry land incidental to construction activity and pits excavated in dry land for the purpose of obtaining fill, sand, or gravel unless and until the construction or excavation operation is abandoned and the resulting body of water meets the definition of waters of the United States found at 33 CFR 328.3(a). Isolated, intrastate wetland with no nexus to interstate commerce. Prior converted cropland, as determined by the Natural Resources Conservation Service. Explain rationale: |
| | Non-tidal drainage or irrigation ditches excavated on dry land. Explain rationale:Other (explain): |
| DATA I | Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant. Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant. This office concurs with the delineation report, dated , prepared by (company): This office does not concur with the delineation report, dated , prepared by (company): This office does not concur with the delineation report, dated , prepared by (company): Data sheets prepared by the Corps. Corps' navigable waters' studies: U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: U.S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic maps: U.S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic quadrangles: U.S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic quadrangles: U.S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic quadrangles: USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey: National wetlands inventory maps: State/Local wetland inventory maps: FEMA/FIRM maps (Map Name & Date): 100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (NGVD) Aerial Photographs (Name & Date): Other photographs (Date): June 2005 Advanced Identification Wetland maps: Site visit/determination conducted on: Applicable/supporting case law: |

¹Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology).

 $^{^{2}}$ The term "adjacent" means bordering, contiguous, or neighboring. Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

INFORMATION SHEET

DETERMINATIONS OF NO JURISDICTION FOR ISOLATED, NON-NAVIGABLE, INTRA-STATE WATERS RESULTING FROM U.S. SUPREME COURT DECISION IN SOLID WASTE AGENCY OF NORTHERN COOK COUNTY V. U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS

Omaha

DISTRICT OFFICE:

TYPE OF DETERMINATION:

| FILE NUMBER: | | | <u>2</u> | <u>200590540</u> | | | | | | | |
|---|--------|-------------|------------|--|--------------------|-----------------------------|--------------|-----------------|---------------------|--|--|
| REGULATORY PROJECT MANAGER: | | | | Cathy Juh | ıas | Date: <u>August 4, 2005</u> | | | | | |
| PROJECT REVIEW/DETERMINATION COMPLETI | | | | ED: In the office Y (Y/N) Date: August 4, 2005 At the project site N (Y/N) Date: | | | | | | | |
| PROJECT LOCATION INFORMATION: State: County: Center coordinates of site by latitude & longitude Approximate size of site/property (including upla Name of waterway or watershed: SITE CONDITIONS: | | | | Montana Stillwater Sec. 20, Township 5 S, Range | | | | | <u>5 E</u> - | | |
| Type of aquatic resource ¹ | 0-1 ac | 1-3 ac | 3-5 ac | 5-10 ac | 10-25 ac | 25-50 ac | > 50 ac | Linear feet | Unknown | | |
| Lake | | | | | | | | | | | |
| River | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Stream | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Dry Wash | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Mudflat | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Sandflat | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Wetlands | | X | | | | | | | | | |
| Slough | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Prairie pothole | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Wet meadow | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Playa lake | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Vernal pool | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Natural pond | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Other water (identify type) | _ | | | | | | | | | | |
| ¹ Check appropriate boxes that jurisdictional aquatic resource | | type of iso | lated, non | -navigable | e, intra-state wat | ter present an | d best estim | ate for size of | non- | | |

| Migratory Bird Rule Factors ¹ : | If Known | | If Unknown | | | | |
|--|----------|----|--------------------------------|-----------------|------------------|--|--|
| | | | Use Best Professional Judgment | | l Judgment | | |
| | Yes | No | Predicted | Not Expected to | Not Able To Make | | |
| | | | to Occur | Occur | Determination | | |
| Is or would be used as habitat for birds protected by | | | | | | | |
| Migratory Bird Treaties? | | | | X | | | |
| Is or would be used as habitat by other migratory birds that | | | | | | | |
| cross state lines? | | | | X | | | |
| Is or would be used as habitat for endangered species? | | | | X | | | |
| Is used to irrigate crops sold in interstate commerce? | | X | | | | | |
| ¹ Check appropriate boxes that best describe potential for applicability of the Migratory Bird Rule to apply to onsite, non-jurisdictional, isolated, | | | | | | | |

non-navigable, intra-state aquatic resource area.

OPTIONAL ADDITIONAL INFORMATION SUPPORTING NJD (e.g., discussion may include information reviewed to assess potential navigation or interstate commerce connections - 1 to 3 paragraphs):

- 1. Site Conditions The proposed project consists of 3 sites; Sites 1 and 2 are located on Verdigris Creek, but the activity (using existing crossings for mining equipment) does not require a Corps permit. Site 3 is located in a spring-fed wetland. Water from the spring flows over the existing road and ends in vegetation approximately 300 feet from Verdigris Creek. There is no surface water connection to the creek.
- 2. After speaking with the applicant's agent (Wilf Struck) and reviewing site photos, it was determined that the wetland at Site 3 is isolated. This wetland receives hydrology from a spring and is not connected to Verdigris Creek. No boating or irrigation would be possible at any location. No fisheries are present.
- 3. This determination was made by reviewing quad maps and photos of the project area, as well as the August 4, 2005 phone conversation with Wilf Struck.
- 4. The wetland at Site 3 is not a jurisdictional water. This is an approved jurisdictional determination.